

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,122	04/21/2009	Leslie Brenon Knaggs	130109.513USPC	1291	
500 7550 SFED INTELECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LEE, JAMES		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/08/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/583,122	KNAGGS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
JAMES LEE	1725	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,196(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (b) SIU. SC, § 13). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned pattern them adjustments. Set 37 CFR 17 (40c). 				
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.				
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
5) Claim(s) 1-7.9.12.14.17-35 and 42-45 is/are pending in the application.				
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) 1-7,9,12,14,17-35 and 42-45 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
,				
Application Papers				
10)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				

Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Tildrematics Diselveurs Statement(s) (DTO CRint)	 Notice of Internal Patert Application

6) Other: Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/583,122

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7,9,12,14 and 17-20, drawn to a fuel cell comprising an RFID transponder.

Group II, claim(s) 21-29, drawn to a fuel cell system comprising a plurality of fuel cells having RFID transponders and a reader.

Group III, claim(s) 30-35 and 42-45, drawn to a method of monitoring an operating parameter of a fuel cell comprising an RFID transponder.

2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The shared technical feature of Groups I, II and III, where the shared technical feature is a fuel cell comprising an RFID transponder, does not offer any contribution over the prior art as disclosed by Herron (US 20020022167 A1, hereinafter "Herron") in view of Rast (US 2003/0216228 A1, hereinafter "Rast"). Herron discloses a fuel cell (12) comprising a sensor or sensor assembly (42) adapted to measure a parameter that communicates with a controller (40) wirelessly ([0021]), but Herron does not specifically

Application/Control Number: 10/583,122

Art Unit: 1725

disclose an RFID transponder. RFID transponders were commonly known to be used in wireless sensors as taught/evidenced by Rast ([0115]) such that it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to use an RFID as the wireless sensor of Herron and the skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so. As such, because the shared technical feature of Groups I, II and III does not offer contribution over the prior art as required by PCT Rule 13.2, as set forth above, Groups I, II and II lack unity of invention.

Once applicant elects one of Groups I, II or III, a further election is needed as set forth below.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A, drawn to a transponder configured to transmit its identification only when the sensed parameter crosses a threshold value (see instant specification p9/L1-p10/L3, Fig. 2a).

Species B, drawn to a transponder configured to transmit data representative of the parameter value (see instant specification p10/L4-21, Fig. 2b).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Species A and B are

Application/Control Number: 10/583,122

Art Unit: 1725

mutually exclusive, where Species A is drawn to a transponder configured to transmit its identification only when the sensed parameter crosses a threshold value (p9/L1-p10/L3, Fig. 2a) and Species B is drawn to a transponder configured to transmit data representative of the parameter value (p10/L4-21, Fig. 2b), which shows that that the species have different special technical features. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: Claims 1, 21, 30 and 42 appear to be generic.

4 REQUIREMENT FOR LINITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of

the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or

Application/Control Number: 10/583,122

Art Unit: 1725

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Art Unit: 1725

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to JAMES LEE whose telephone number is (571)2707937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30AM to 5:00PM
EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Basia Ridley can be reached on 571-272-1453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,122 Page 8

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J.L./ Examiner, Art Unit 1725 11/4/2011

> /Basia Ridley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1725